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Many neurological and psychiatric diseases are associated with clinically detectable, altered brain dynamics. The aberrant brain activity, in principle, can be restored through electrical stimulation. In epilepsies, abnormal patterns emerge intermittently, and therefore, a closed-loop feedback brain control that leaves other aspects of brain functions unaffected is desirable. Here, we demonstrate that seizure-triggered, feedback transcranial electrical stimulation (TES) can dramatically reduce spike-and-wave episodes in a rodent model of generalized epilepsy. Closed-loop TES can be an effective clinical tool to reduce pathological brain patterns in drug-resistant patients.

A successful, although not well-understood, therapy in drug-resistant cases of Parkinson's disease and depression is deep brain stimulation (1–3), in which high-frequency stimulation is applied continuously. In many diseases, such as epilepsies, events recur unpredictably and often are separated by long interictal intervals (4–6). In such instances, a closed-loop, transient feedback control could abort seizure episodes without inducing detrimental side effects of continuous stimulation (7–13). We attempted to achieve seizure control by means of closed-loop transcranial electrical stimulation (TES) in a rodent model of generalized (“petit mal”) epilepsy (14, 15) because previous experiments have shown that even very weak TES can reliably entrain neurons in widespread cortical areas (16–20).

We first demonstrated the effect of TES on cortical excitability. Local field potentials (LFPs) and multiple-unit activity (MUA) were recorded by chronically implanted tripolar electrodes (Fig. 1A) and placed in the deep and superficial layers of the frontal and parietal cortical areas (21). TES was applied either between the left and right hemispheres (or frontal midline versus parietal areas) and shown that even very weak TES can reliably entrain neurons in widespread cortical areas.

Fig. 1. Experimental setup. (A) Artifac reduction by means of tripolar recordings of LFP and unit activity in superficial, mid-, and deep cortical layers. CSD is derived from the three signals (LFPs from channels a and c are subtracted from the 2x amplitude of channel b activity). The derived CSD signal is filtered (10 to 130 Hz), and signals exceeding the predetermined amplitude threshold are detected (spike detection). The thresholded signals are used to trigger TES, applied to the skull either in a bipolar configuration (left versus right hemispheres) or frontal midline versus parietal areas (as shown). (B) Example of LFP signal during a spontaneously occurring SW episode, CSD, and its filtered version in the absence of TES stimulation. (C) Similar SW episode in the presence of TES stimulation. The gating (red) "dead time" pulse (80 ms) was used to prevent prolonged spurious triggering of the stimulator during the wave components of SW episode.
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temporal electrodes, placed directly on the skull, or between these bitemporal electrodes, against a frontal midline electrode (Fig. 1B and fig. S1) (18). TES-induced artifacts were reduced by using tripolar electrodes and deriving current source density (CSD) of the recorded traces online (Fig. 1, C and D). TES sinusoid trains at 1 Hz induced significant rate modulation of multiple unit firing patterns both in the absence and presence of
spike-and-wave (SW) episodes ($P < 0.01$; 61 of 103 cortical sites; $n = 3$ rats; Rayleigh test) (Fig. 2). In addition to affecting the firing rates of neurons, TES at 1 Hz also strongly modulated the spike amplitude of SW patterns (Fig. 2, C and D, and fig. S2) but had no effect on the duration of SW episodes [time ($t$) = 8.62 s, 21,902 s of TES epochs; $t = 9.14$ s, 21,775 s of control epochs; $n = 9$ rats; $P > 0.05$; $t$ test for dependent samples]. Additional control experiments demonstrated that TES, at the intensities used, neither induced arousal effects when applied during sleep nor affected overt behavior during waking, as demonstrated by the lack of TES-induced head movements (Figs. S3 and S4).

We next sought to examine how brain activity–triggered stimulation affects SW patterns. Because SW patterns are strongly periodic events, involving reverberatory activity of the thalamocortical loop (14), we applied Gaussian waveforms of 50-ms TES after the detected spike components. SW-triggered TES shortened the duration of SW episodes in an intensity-dependent manner (Fig. 3). Group analysis of closed-loop TES stimulation showed that the mean duration of the SW episodes was significantly shorter in nine of the nine rats ($P < 0.01$; two sample $t$ test). In addition, the percent time spent in SW episodes in a given session was significantly reduced in seven of the nine rats ($P < 0.01$). Overall, feedback TES stimulation lead to a >60% decrease of both the duration of SW episodes and the percent of time spent in SW across animals (Fig. 4).

The percent decrease of time spent in SW episodes in a given session was largely a consequence of the decreased duration of SW episodes because there was a significant correlation between the mean duration and the fraction of time in SW episodes [correlation coefficient ($r$) = 0.60; $P < 0.001$; Pearson’s correlation test] (fig. S5). This relationship thus shows that TES did not simply fragment or delay SW episodes. The number of SW episodes per unit time was not significantly different between control and TES sessions ($P > 0.05$; two sample $t$ test), indicating that TES-induced reduction of SW episodes did not lead to a rebound or long-term compensatory increase of their probability of occurrence.

These findings show that brain pattern–triggered feedback TES of cortical neurons can interfere with thalamocortical reverberation during SW episodes and effectively reduce their duration. SW patterns—the hallmark of generalized petit mal epilepsy—arise from complex interactions between thalamic and neocortical neurons (14, 22–24). During the wave component of the SW cycle, both neocortical and thalamic neurons are largely silent (22–25). We hypothesize that cortical excitatory feedback during this silent period, brought about with TES, quenched the ongoing rhythm by recruiting subsets of thalamic cells, which in turn became refractory during the duty phase of the native SW cycle, as shown through tandem optogenetic activation of the thalamus and neocortex in mice (fig. S6). Brain activity–timed feedback of TES appears critical because 1-Hz sinusoid trains did not affect the duration of SW episodes.

Successful clinical application of closed-loop TES has two fundamental requirements (9, 11, 26, 27). The first is the recording and identifying of causal pathophysiological network patterns. In generalized SW and focal cortical epilepsies, subdural or epidural electrodes, or even electrodes inserted into the skull, may be sufficient. In complex partial seizures, which make up the largest fraction of drug-resistant epilepsies, deep-electrode recordings are required for accurate detection of abnormal patterns (11, 13). The second requirement is closed-loop feedback stimulation of the target circuits, whose activation can interfere with the emerging pathological pattern. Intra-skull plate electrodes may ideally diffuse the applied currents to affect sufficiently large groups of neurons (28). Alternatively, multiple and appropriately placed plates may be used to achieve more focal concentration of current. In contrast to transcranial magnetic stimulation, which requires large and heavy coils, TES electrodes implanted in the skull and powered by ultralight electrical circuits are a cosmetically acceptable solution for long-term clinical applications. Noninvasive, closed-loop TES stimulation may also prove useful for improving mental and mood states.
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